Index to Volume 12

ARTICLES

Aaker, David A. and Phillip K. Brown. **Evaluating Vehicle Source Effects.**

No. 4, pp. 11-16.

Prestige magazines changed non-users' attitudes more than expert magazines with ads stressing product quality . . . but the reverse was true of "reason why" ads.

Achenbaum, Alvin A. **Advertising Doesn't Manipulate Consumers.**

No. 2, pp. 3-13.

Buyers are not loyal sheep but fickle butterflies whose favor must constantly be sought.

Adams, Stephen C. See Britt, Adams and Miller.

Anderson, Clarita. See Dardis and Anderson.

Anderson, Evan E. **Split Plot Design: Measuring Market Share.**

No. 4, pp. 17-20.

Trading stamps were less effective than price reductions in promoting two brands of bread.

Anderson, W. T. and William H. Cunningham. **Gauging Foreign Product Promotion.**

No. 1, pp. 29-34.

Compared to Maverick owners, Volkswagen owners were less status-oriented, more liberal, and better educated.

Baker, Michael J. See Buzzell and Baker.

Bartges, David W. See Grass, Bartges and Piech.

Bither, Stewart W. See Klippel and Bither.

Bolen, William H. **Profitability of Specialty Advertising.**

No. 3, pp. 14-16.

Three out of four promotions by a Georgia drug store more than paid for themselves in extra sales.

Britt, Steuart Henderson, Stephen C. Adams and Allan S. Miller. **How Many Advertising Exposures Per Day?**

No. 6, pp. 3-9.

Twenty-one Milwaukee residents saw 117 to 484 ads per day in four major media.

Brown, Phillip K. See Aaker and Brown.

Buzzell, Robert D. and Michael J. Baker. **Sales Effectiveness of Automobile Advertising.**

No. 3, pp. 3-8.

During the GM strike, its competitors changed their promotional budget differently on 20 different models, thus revealing sales results of advertising.

Case, Kenneth E. and James E. Shamblin. **The Effects of Advertising Carry-Over.**

No. 3, pp. 37-40.

To determine its optimal level of advertising, a firm can safely ignore advertising's carry-over effects.

Cunningham, William H. See Anderson and Cunningham.

Darden, William R. See Reynolds and Darden.

Dardis, Rachel and Clarita Anderson. **Newspaper Ads and Planned Purchases.**

No. 3, pp. 21-24.

Customers of three discount stores were more aware of ads for hard goods than for soft goods.

de Mille, Richard. See Kohan, de Mille, and Myers.

Derr, Ed. See Pavasars and Derr.

Dickson, John P. **Coordinating Images Between Media.**

No. 1, pp. 25-28.

Point-of-purchase displays produced more sales when they were tied in with concurrent TV advertising.

Enis, Ben M. **Bayesian Approach to Ad Budgets.**

No. 1, pp. 13-19.

Without historical data, how can one justify the advertising budget? A Bayesian approach may answer that question.

Farley, John U. and Philip D. Harvey. **Marketing Contraceptives by Mail.**

No. 5, pp. 15-18.

Male-directed copy and offers of booklets resulted in most inquiries from college students exposed to contraceptive advertising.

Frank, Ronald E. **Predicting New Product Segments.**

No. 3, pp. 9-13.

Initial trial, purchase rate, and response to cents-off deals for 18 food products differed significantly among consumers.

Grass, Robert C., David W. Bartges, and Jeffrey L. Piech. **Measuring Corporate Image Ad Effects.**

No. 6, pp. 15-22.

High exposure to a Du Pont campaign caused significant improved attitudes toward the company.

Green, Paul E., Yoram Wind, and Arun K. Jain. **Benefit Bundle Analysis.**

No. 2, pp. 31-36.

By finding different product attributes, relevant consumer appeals can be addressed to appropriate market segments.

Gutman, Jonathan. **Tachistoscopic Tests of Outdoor Ads.**

No. 4, pp. 21-27.

Perceptual speeds were found to be the main source of differences in tachistoscopic testing.

Haller, Terry B. **Predicting Recall of TV Commercials.**

No. 5, pp. 43-45.

Subjective predictions of four key elements of communication closely approximated eventual recall scores.

Harvey, Philip D. See Farley and Harvey.

Hewett, Wendell C. **What One Little Showing Can Do.**

No. 5, pp. 29-30.

Outdoor advertising increased awareness of our 23rd President by 35 per cent.

Hise, Richard T. **How Liberals and Conservatives View Ads.**

No. 6, pp. 38-42.

The "liberalness" of neither the medium nor the appeal has little to do with readers' views of ads.

Holbert, Neil. **Key Articles in Advertising Research.**

No. 5, pp. 5-13.

What have we learned lately about how advertising works? It's not all in the textbooks yet, as you'll see in this review.

Jain, Arun K. See Green, Wind, and Jain.

Kamen, Joseph M. **A Systems Approach to Shoelace Marketing.**

No. 3, pp. 26-36.

High humor on the mental wizardry of market researchers, who glean keen perceptions from even the most minuscule marketing malady.

Klippel, R. Eugene and Stewart W. Bither. **Attitude Data in Allocation Models.**

No. 2, pp. 20-24.

Mathematical models made more accurate predictions by including attitude variables.

Kohan, Stephanie, Richard de Mille, and James H. Myers. **Two Comparisons of Attitude Measures.**

No. 4, pp. 29-34.

A new method of free response analysis, ECHO, elicited data that conventional survey techniques could not.

Krugman, Herbert E. **Why Three Exposures May Be Enough.**

No. 6, pp. 11-15.

Exposure No. 1: Curiosity; exposure No. 2: Recognition; exposure No. 3: Decision.

Mayer, Martin. **A Writer Looks at TV Ratings.**

No. 4, pp. 3-10.

From the new book by (according to the New York Times) "America's highest paid free-lance," parts of a chapter, . . . and some previously unpublished reflections.

Miller, Allan S. See Britt, Adams, and Miller.

Morrison, Bruce John and Richard C. Sherman. **Who Responds to Sex in Advertising?**

No. 2, pp. 15-19.

Clustering analysis shows how groups respond differently to nudity, suggestiveness of copy, romantic themes, and realism.

Myers, James H. See Kohan, de Mille, and Myers.

Narodick, Kit G. **Determinants of Airline Market-Share.**

No. 5, pp. 31-36.

For short haul flight segments, a carrier's number of non-stop flights had most effect on the number of passengers it carried.

Ostlund, Lyman C. **Identifying Early Buyers.**

No. 2, pp. 25-30.

New buyers were predicted from five measures of how they saw the product.

Pavasars, John and Ed Derr. **Pre-alerting On-air Test Respondents.**

No. 6, pp. 23-28.

TV commercial testing based only on recall can miss important aspects of commercial performance.

Peterson, R. D. See Taylor and Peterson.

Peterson, Robert A. and Ivan Ross. **How to Name New Brands.**

No. 6, pp. 29-34.

When a computer generated "new" words, some reminded subjects of products more than others.

Peterson, Robert A. **Psychographics and Media Exposure.**

No. 3, pp. 17-20.

Five differently exposed segments varied little in the medium from which they preferred to get most product information.

Piech, Jeffrey L. See Grass, Bartges and Piech.

Plummer, Joseph T. **Evaluating TV Commercial Tests.**

No. 5, pp. 21-27.

From a factor analysis of 14 measures of 147 commercials, the author offers seven rules for evaluating testing techniques.

Reynolds, Fred D. and William R. Darden. **Why the Midi Failed.**

No. 4, pp. 39-45.

The success of fashions, not surprisingly, depends upon giving the consumer what she wants.

Roslow, Laurence. See Roslow (Sydney) and Roslow.

Roslow, Sydney and Laurence Roslow. **Unlisted Phone Subscribers Are Different.**

No. 4, pp. 35-38.

Survey samples of listed telephone subscribers can be biased.

Ross, Ivan. See Peterson and Ross.

Shamblin, James E. See Case and Shamblin.

Sherman, Richard C. See Morrison and Sherman.

Shulman, Art. **On-air Recall by Time of Day.**

No. 1, pp. 21-23.

Recall scores depended on the time of day when interviews were conducted.

Tauber, Edward M. **What Is Measured by Concept Testing?**

No. 6, pp. 35-37.

When managers think only new product ideas are measured, often concept, execution, and communication are measured instead.

Taylor, Robert C. and R. D. Peterson. **A Textbook Model of Ad Creation.**

No. 1, pp. 35-41.

From studies of the marketing of hospital equip-

ment, the authors present a checklist for evaluating trade-paper advertisements.

Ward, Scott. **Children's Reactions to Commercials.**

No. 2, pp. 37-45.

The older the child, the greater his awareness, understanding, and discernment of TV advertising.

Wind, Yoram. See Green, Wind, and Jain.

Young, Lawrence F. **Estimating Radio Reach.**

No. 5, pp. 37-41.

GRP's by sex and age, number of stations and time-periods used, and spots per station together make a useful model for determining radio reach.

Young, Shirley. **Copy Testing Without Magic Numbers.**

No. 1, pp. 3-12.

Only by using a range of techniques, the author argues, can we expect to achieve sound copy testing results.

BOOK REVIEWS

Lucas, Darrell B. No. 1, pp. 44-45.

EDITORIALS

Charles Ramond, Editor

Better Research Through Planning No. 5, p. 52.
Helping the FTC No. 2, p. 48.
The Art of Science No. 1, p. 48.
The Facts of 'Life' No. 6, p. 47.
The Joys of Moving No. 4, p. 48.
The Uses of Advertising No. 3, p. 48.

POINT OF VIEW

de Smyrna, Ajax
The Zhopa Law No. 4, p. 47.
Greenberg, Allan
Intermedia Comparisons No. 5, pp. 47-49.
Henry, John D.
No title No. 1, pp. 46-47.
Lucas, Darrell B.
Product Managers in Advertising No. 3, pp. 41-44.

QUOTABLE

As people become more aware of themselves and the context of their lives, they want to know why things are happening. And if I read the signs right, it will be necessary in the future to go behind the headlines and moving cameras and tell them what is happening and why.

—John H. Johnson, President
Johnson Publishing Co.